CAMPOBELLO-GRAMLING SCHOOL 250 Fagan Avenue Campobello, South Carolina 29322 K-8 Elementary School GRADES 614 Students ENROLLMENT William H. Sapp 864-472-9110 PRINCIPAL SUPERINTENDENT Dr. James A. Littlefield 864-472-2846 Henry T. Gramling 864-472-2846 BOARD CHAIR THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA ANNUAL SCHOOL 2003 REPORT CARD ABSOLUTE RATING: GOOD Absolute Ratings of Elementary Schools with Students like Ours Good Excellent Average Below Average Unsatisfactory 17 52 IMPROVEMENT RATING: UNSATISFACTORY ADEQUATE YEARLY PROGRESS: ND This school met 16 out of 21 objectives. The objectives included performance and participation of students in various groups and student attendance rate. SOUTH CAROLINA PERFORMANCE GOAL By 2010, South Carolina's student achievement will be ranked in the top half of the states nationally. To achieve this goal, we must become one of the fastest improving systems in the country. FOR MORE INFORMATION, VISIT WEBSITES AT: WWW.MYSCSCHOOLS.COM WWW.SCEOC.ORG

PERFORMANCE TRENDS OVER 4-YEAR PERIOD

| | Absolute Rating | Improvement Rating | Adequate Yearly Progress |
|------|-----------------|--------------------|--------------------------|
| 2001 | Good | Unsatisfactory | N/A |
| 2002 | Good | Good | N/A |
| 2003 | Good | Unsatisfactory | No |
| 2004 | | | |

PALMETTO ACHIEVEMENT CHALLENGE TESTS (PACT) RESULTS

Our School Elementary Schools with Students like Ours



46.7

Definition of Critical Terms

Advanced

Very high score; very well prepared to work at next grade level; exceeded expectations

Proficient

Well prepared to work at next grade level; met expectations

Basic

Met standards; minimally prepared, can go to next grade level

Did not meet standards; must have an academic assistance plan; the local board policy determines progress to the next grade level

NOTE: Science and social studies are to be included in the 2005 school report card.

EVALUATIONS BY TEACHERS, STUDENTS, AND PARENTS

| | Teachers | Students | Parents |
|--|----------|----------|---------|
| Number of surveys returned | 50 | 70 | 85 |
| Percent satisfied with learning environment | 100.0% | 97.1% | 80.7% |
| Percent satisfied with social and physical environment | 100.0% | 98.6% | 76.3% |
| Percent satisfied with home-school relations | 100.0% | 98.6% | 92.8% |

PACT PERFORMANCE BY GROUP olo Proficient and State Objective June 1. z dro olo Belom Baeic olo Proficient olo Advanced Advanced olo Tested olo Basic English/Language Arts All students 28.2 421 99.3 18.5 47.0 6.3 34.5 17.6 Gender Male 220 98.6 20.4 49.2 27.2 3.1 30.4 17.6 Female 100.0 16.7 44.8 29.2 9.4 38.5 17.6 201 Racial/Ethnic Group 99.4 16.3 46.0 30.4 7.4 37.7 17.6 White 356 African-American 97.7 28.2 56.4 15.4 N/A 15.4 17.6 44 Asian/Pacific Islander 10 100.0 30.0 60.0 10.0 N/A 10.0 17.6 Hispanic 100.0 N/A 17.6 N/A N/A N/A N/A 11 American Indian/Alaskan N/A 0.0 N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A 17.6 Disability Status Not disabled 100.0 42.2 34.7 42.5 17.6 331 15.3 7.8 Disabled 90 96.7 32.0 66.7 1.3 N/A 1.3 17.6 Migrant Status Migrant 0.0 N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A 17.6 N/A Non-migrant 421 99.3 17.9 47.2 28.5 6.3 34.8 17.6 English Proficiency Limited English proficient 100.0 N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A 11 17.6 Non-limited English proficient 99.3 17.2 47.7 28.7 6.4 35.1 17.6 410 Socio-Economic Status Subsidized meals 99.0 22.9 58.9 14.3 4.0 18.3 17.6 198 Full-pay meals 223 99.6 14.9 37.0 39.9 8.2 48.1 17.6 Mathematics All students 421 100.0 19.4 47.9 19.2 13.5 32.6 15.5 Gender Male 22.2 100.0 18.6 45.4 13.9 36.1 220 15.5 Female 100.0 20.3 50.5 16.1 13.0 29.2 15.5 201 Racial/Ethnic Group White 100.0 16.8 47.6 20.4 15.2 35.7 15.5 356 African-American 44 100.0 40.0 47.5 12.5 N/A 12.5 15.5 Asian/Pacific Islander 10 100.0 10.0 70.0 N/A 20.0 20.0 15.5 Hispanic 100.0 N/A N/A N/A N/A 15.5 N/A 11 American Indian/Alaskan N/A 0.0 N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A 15.5 Disability Status Not disabled 100.0 12.7 47.7 22.7 16.9 39.6 15.5 331 Disabled 100.0 46.2 48.7 N/A 15.5 90 5.1 5.1 Migrant Status N/A N/A N/A N/A 15.5 N/A 0.0 N/A Migrant Non-migrant 421 100.0 19.1 47.9 19.4 13.6 33.0 15.5 English Proficiency Limited English proficient 11 100.0 N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A 15.5 Non-limited English proficient 410 100.0 18.6 48.1 19.4 13.8 33.2 15.5 Socio-Economic Status

Abbreviations for Missing Data

26.0

13.9

53.7

43.1

15.3

22.5

198

223

100.0

100.0

Subsidized meals

Full-pay meals

20.3

43.1

15.5

15.5

5.1

20.6

PACT PERFORMANCE BY GRADE LEVEL

| | | Enolit | ign des | Ceste ologi | ON | Basic | Profit | Adva olo Profit |
|------|---------|--------|---------|-------------|----------|---------|--------|-----------------|
| | | Ento | 94 010 | / | | / | , 010 | 0/0/ |
| | | | | English | n/Langua | ge Arts | | |
| | Grade 3 | 66 | N/A | 16.9 | 46.2 | 33.8 | 3.1 | 36.9 |
| | Grade 4 | 69 | N/A | 20.3 | 43.5 | 34.8 | 1.4 | 36.2 |
| 2002 | Grade 5 | 67 | N/A | 16.4 | 53.7 | 28.4 | 1.5 | 29.9 |
| 20 | Grade 6 | 83 | N/A | 9.9 | 45.7 | 34.6 | 9.9 | 44.4 |
| | Grade 7 | 55 | N/A | 7.3 | 61.8 | 29.1 | 1.8 | 30.9 |
| • | Grade 8 | 49 | N/A | 14.9 | 40.4 | 25.5 | 19.1 | 44.7 |
| | Grade 3 | 72 | 100.0 | 15.4 | 40.0 | 36.9 | 7.7 | 44.6 |
| | Grade 4 | 75 | 100.0 | 27.1 | 45.7 | 25.7 | 1.4 | 27.1 |
| 33 | Grade 5 | 82 | 96.3 | 20.8 | 43.1 | 31.9 | 4.2 | 36.1 |
| 2003 | Grade 6 | 72 | 100.0 | 16.9 | 49.2 | 20.0 | 13.8 | 33.8 |
| | Grade 7 | 64 | 100.0 | 13.6 | 49.2 | 28.8 | 8.5 | 37.3 |
| | Grade 8 | 56 | 100.0 | 15.4 | 57.7 | 25.0 | 1.9 | 26.9 |

| | | | | M | athematio | S | | |
|------|---------|----|-------|------|-----------|------|------|------|
| | Grade 3 | 66 | N/A | 21.5 | 36.9 | 24.6 | 16.9 | 41.5 |
| | Grade 4 | 69 | N/A | 17.4 | 47.8 | 18.8 | 15.9 | 34.8 |
| 2002 | Grade 5 | 67 | N/A | 13.4 | 53.7 | 17.9 | 14.9 | 32.8 |
| 2 | Grade 6 | 83 | N/A | 8.6 | 43.2 | 28.4 | 19.8 | 48.1 |
| | Grade 7 | 55 | N/A | 14.5 | 43.6 | 27.3 | 14.5 | 41.8 |
| • | Grade 8 | 49 | N/A | 12.8 | 42.6 | 19.1 | 25.5 | 44.7 |
| | Grade 3 | 72 | 100.0 | 12.3 | 49.2 | 26.2 | 12.3 | 38.5 |
| | Grade 4 | 75 | 100.0 | 24.3 | 51.4 | 11.4 | 12.9 | 24.3 |
| 2003 | Grade 5 | 82 | 100.0 | 24.0 | 48.0 | 18.7 | 9.3 | 28.0 |
| 20 | Grade 6 | 72 | 100.0 | 15.4 | 36.9 | 26.2 | 21.5 | 47.7 |
| | Grade 7 | 64 | 100.0 | 22.0 | 37.3 | 20.3 | 20.3 | 40.7 |
| | Grade 8 | 56 | 100.0 | 17.3 | 67.3 | 11.5 | 3.8 | 15.4 |

SCHOOL PROFILE

| 0 | ur School | Change from Last Year | Elementary Schools with Students Like Ours | Median Elementary School |
|---|-----------|--------------------------|---|--------------------------------|
| Students (n= 614) | | | | |
| First graders who attended full-day kindergarten | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Retention rate | N/A | N/A | 2.5% | 2.4% |
| Attendance rate | 91.6% | Down from 95.8% | 96.0% | 95.9% |
| Meeting grade 1 and 2 readiness standards | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Eligible for gifted and talented On academic plans | 17.7% | Down from 19.2% | 20.6% | 13.2% |
| | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| On academic probation With disabilities other than speech | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| | 14.5% | Up from 13.0% | 7.3% | 8.0% |
| Older than usual for grade | 1.0% | Up from 0.3% | 0.8% | 1.1% |
| Suspended or expelled | 0.0% | No change | 0.0% | 0.0% |
| Teachers (n= 46) | | | | |
| Teachers with advanced degrees Continuing contract teachers | 58.7% | Down from 65.3% | 51.5% | 50.0% |
| | 93.5% | Up from 83.7% | 90.2% | 85.3% |
| Highly qualified teachers Teachers returning from previous year | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| | 91.2% | Down from 91.8% | 88.2% | 86.2% |
| Teacher attendance rate Average teacher salary | 96.3% | Up from 94.8% | 95.6% | 95.3% |
| | \$42,895 | No change | \$40,638 | \$39,909 |
| Prof. development days/teacher | 6.8 days | Down from 9.2 days | 10.7 days | 11.4 days |
| School | | | | |
| Principal's years at school | 18.0 | Up from 17.0 | 5.0 | 4.0 |
| Student-teacher ratio | 32.6 to 1 | Up from 17.4 to 1 | 19.3 to 1 | 18.9 to 1 |
| Prime instructional time Dollars spent per pupil* | 86.8% | Down from 89.6% | 90.0% | 89.7% |
| | \$7,189 | Up 16.6% | \$5,652 | \$5,892 |
| Percent spent on teacher salaries* Opportunities in the arts | 67.5% | Up from 67.0% | 66.5% | 66.6% |
| | Good | No change | Good | Good |
| Parents attending conferences SACS accreditation | 97.4% | Down from 99.3% | 99.0% | 99.0% |
| | yes | N/A | yes | yes |
| | , | | • | • |

^{*} Prior year audited financial data are reported.

| | Our District | State | |
|---|--------------|-------|--|
| Highly qualified teachers in low poverty schools | N/A | N/A | |
| | | | |
| Highly qualified teachers in high poverty schools | N/A | N/A | |

Abbreviations for Missing Data

| N/A Not Applicable N/C Not Collected N/R Not Reported I/S Insuffice | ent Sample |
|---|------------|
|---|------------|

REPORT OF PRINCIPAL AND SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT COUNCIL

Campobello-Gramling School is a student-centered institution, K through 8th grade, that offers many strong programs to enhance learning. The Reading Recovery Program for at-risk first grade students, the Pat Cunningham Model for English Language Arts, and Algebra I for 8th grade students for high school credit are a few of the exemplary programs offered. Measures of the school's success include six honorable mention awards under the School Incentive Reward Program, \$38,852 as a School Incentive Reward winner, State Title I Distinguished School, and recipient of the SDE School Red Carpet Award in May of 2002. In 2003, the school received the state's Palmetto Gold Award, the Exemplary Writing Award, and our career quidance program was recognized as the best in the state.

The school enjoys strong support from the School Improvement Council, the PTO, and the community. The school has a local business partner that provides volunteers, tutors, shadowing experiences, and financial support for the school newspaper. Many community groups use the facility.

PACT scores continue to be very good but the principle challenge is to continue to improve student education to promote life-long learning. The strategies to meet this challenge include continuous evaluation and enhancement of all school programs, implementation of new programs, vertical teaming to ensure continuity in instruction, and an intense familiarity with the standards in all instructional areas. The faculty is fully qualified to meet this challenge.

School safety remains a priority. To this end, a security plan is in place and is reviewed several times a year by the school safety committee. A school resource officer is on campus daily. Security cameras are located in strategic areas and all visitors must obtain clearance before entering school property.

We are proud to be preparing students for life in the 21st century.

William H. Sapp

DEFINITIONS OF SCHOOL RATING TERMS

- Excellent School performance substantially exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Good School performance exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Average School performance meets the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Below Average School is in jeopardy of not meeting the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Unsatisfactory School performance fails to meet the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal

DEFINITION OF ADEQUATE YEARLY PROGRESS

As required by the United States Department of Education, adequate yearly progress specified that the statewide target is met for all students and for each subgroup of students: racial/ethnic, economic, disability, limited English proficiency and migrant status.